S 44 (Rev. 3/99)

# **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

NEV NEV	VIRGIN RECORDS AINC.; LONDON-SIRE; FONOVISA, INC.; IN NT GROUP INC.; LOIDS INC.; UMG RECORD MAVERICK RECORD ORP.  of First Listed Plaintiff_PT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CAVYORK, NEW YORK, NEW YORK, Address, and Telephore	MERICA, INC.; SO RECORDS INC.; ITERSCOPE RECO JD RECORDS, LLC RDINGS, INC.; MO DING CO.; and SES) RK IE Number) 981-4000	ORDS; C;	NOTE: IN LAND CONDEM	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASE	S ONLY) CATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X	" in One Box Only)	III.	CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PART	IES (Place an "X" in One Box for
- 1 110 0	<b>∀</b> 1			(For Diversity Cases Only)		Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)
□ 1 U.S. Government ☐ Plaintiff	<ol> <li>3. Federal Question (U.S. Government Not</li> </ol>	a Danto)		Citizen of This State	DEF  □ I Incorporated or Pri	DEF ncipal Place D4 D4
, iamon	(U.S. Government Not	a rany)			of Business In Th	is State
	4 Diversity			Citizen of Another State		
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship in Item III)	of Parties		Citizen or Subject of a 3	of Business In An	other State
III NATURE OF CLUS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u> </u>	Foreign Country		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT				**Y		
CONTRACT  □ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	ORTS	UDV	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
120 Marine	D 310 Airplane	PERSONAL INJU 362 Personal Injury		610 Agriculture     620 Other Food & Drug	a 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust
□ 130 Miller Act	315 Airplane Product	Med. Malprac		□ 625 Drug Related Seizure	□ 423 Withdrawal	□ 430 Banks and Banking
□ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	□ 365 Personal Injury		of Property 21 USC 881	28 USC 157	□ 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc.
□ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	□ 320 Assault, Libel &	Product Liabil		630 Liquor Laws		450 Confinerce Tee Rates/etc.
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander	☐ 368 Asbestos Perso	-	□ 640 R.R. & Truck	PROPERTY RIGHTS	10 470 Racketeer Influenced and
□ 151 Medicare Act	□ 330 Federal Employers'	Injury Product		□ 650 Airline Regs.	820 Copyrights	Corrupt Organizations
□ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	Liability		□ 660 Occupational	□ 830 Patent	□ 810 Selective Service
Student Loans	□ 340 Marine	PERSONAL PROPE	ERTY	Safety/Health	□ 840 Trademark	□ 850 Securities/Commodities/
	□ 345 Marine Product	□ 370 Other Fraud		□ 690 Other		Exchange
□ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	□ 371 Truth in Lendin	ıg	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	□ 875 Customer Challenge
	□ 350 Motor Vehicle	□ 380 Other Personal		□ 710 Fair Labor Standards	□ 861 HIA (1395ff)	12 USC 3410
□ 190 Other Contract	□ 355 Motor Vehicle	Property Dama		Act	□ 862 Black Lung (923)	□ 891 Agricultural Acts
	Product Liability  □ 360 Other Personal	☐ 385 Property Dama Product Liabili	-	□ 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations	□ 863 DIWC/DIWW	□ 892 Economic Stabilization Act
a 175 community	Injury	Froduct Liabin	ıty	□ 730 Labor/Mgmt Reporting	405(g))	893 Environmental Matters
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETIT	IONS		□ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g))	□ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of
□ 210 Land Condemnation	□ 441 Voting	□ 510 Motions to Vac		790 Other Labor Litigation		Information Act
□ 220 Foreclosure	□ 442 Employment	Sentence		□ 791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	□ 900 Appeal of Fee
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	□ 443 Housing/	Habeas Corpu	s:	Security Act	□ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	Determination Under
□ 240 Torts to Land	Accommodations	□ 530 General			or Defendant)	Equal Access to Justice
	□ 444 Welfare	D 535 Death Penalty			26 USC 7609	950 Constitutionality of
290 All Other Real Property	□ 440 Other Civil Rights	D 540 Mandamus & 0	Other		20 030 7009	State Statutes
		<ul> <li>550 Civil Rights</li> <li>555 Prison Condition</li> </ul>	<b>.</b> .			□ 890 Other Statutory Actions
V. ORIGIN (PLACE	AN "X" IN ONE BO		<i>,</i> 11	T	L	<u> </u>
`		•	4 D :	Transferred		Appeal to
	_			stated or 5 another dist		t a 7 District Judge from
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI		ellate Court		pened (specify)	Litigation	Magistrate Judgment
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	<u>`</u>			h you are filing and write brie	f statement of cause.	
		jurisdictional statute			.1	
				vright infringemen	)T	
VII. REQUESTED IN	CHECK IF THIS	S IS A CLASS ACT	ION	DEMAND S	CHECK YES only if dem	anded in complaint
COMPLAINT	UNDER F.R.C.I	P. 23		Statutory damages; inj	•	DEMAND: □ Yes ☑ No
VIII. RELATED CASE					JON TOWN	2
IF ANY	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•		D.		
	NIA	JUDGE			OCKET NUMBER	
DATE A-//-C	7	SICHATI	RE OF	A LFORNEY OF RECORD		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY						
RECEIPT#	AMOUNT	APPI YING I	IFP	HIDGE	MAG	HIDGE

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA - DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar. Address of Plaintiff: See Attachment A Address of Defendant: Unknown Via internet through Philadelphia-based Internet Service Provider Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: (Use Reverse Side for Additional Space Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock? (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed. R.Civ. P. 7.1(a)) Yes [X] Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? Yes 🗆 No 🗵 RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Date Terminated: Case Number: Judge Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions: Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Yes 🗆 Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated 2. No ⊠ Yes 🗆 action in this court? 3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a parent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously No 🗵 Yes 🗆 terminated action in this court? Civil (Place √ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY): Federal Question Cases: A. B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: 1. ☐ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 1. ☐ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. 2. ☐ Airplane Personal Injury 3. ☐ Notice Act-Personal Injury ☐ Assault, Defamation 3 4 ☐ Antitrust 4. ☐ Marine Personal Injury □ Patent ☐ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 5. 6. □ Labor-Management Relations ☐ Other Personal Injury (Please specify) 6 ☐ Civil Rights 7. ☐ Products Liability 7. 8 ☐ Habeas Corpus ☐ Products Liability -- Asbestos 8. ☐ Securities Act(s) Cases 9 ☐ All other Diversity Cases ☐ Social Security Review Cases 10 (Please specify) ☑ All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) Copyright ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (check appropriate Category) I, Vincent V. Carissimi, counsel of record do hereby certify: Pursuant to Local Civil Rule Issue 53.2, Section 3 (c) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sought. 42227 Attorney I.D. # Attorney-at-Law NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C/P. 38. I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above

DATE:

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA ATTACHMENT A

Arista Records, Inc. 888 Seventh Avenue, 40th Floor New York, NY 10019

Atlantic Recording Corporation 1290 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10014

BMG Music 1540 Broadway New York, NY 10036

Capitol Records, Inc. 150 Fifth Avenue, 11th Floor New York, NY 10011

Elektra Entertainment Group 75 Rockefeller Plaza New York, NY 10019

Fonovisa, Inc. 5820 Canoga Avenue Suite 300 Woodland Hills, CA 91367

Interscope Records 2220 Colorado Avenue Santa Monica, CA 90404

Lava Records 1290 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10014

London-Sire Records Inc. 75 Rockefeller Plaza New York, NY 10019 Loud Records LLC 215 Park Avenue South New York, NY 10003

Maverick Recording Company 9348 Civic Center Drive Beverly Hills, CA 90210

Motown Record Company, L.P. 1755 Broadway, 6th Floor New York, NY 10019

Priority Records LLC 1750 N. Vine Los Angeles, CA 90028

Sony Music Entertainment Inc. 550 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10022-3211

UMG Recordings 2220 Colorado Avenue Santa Monica, CA 90404

Virgin Records America, Inc. 150 Fifth Avenue, 11th Floor New York, NY 10011

Warner Bros. Records Inc. 3300 Warner Blvd. Burbank, CA 91505

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

BMG MUSIC, a New York general partnership; LAVA RECORDS, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; PRIORITY RECORDS LLC, a California limited liability company; ARISTA RECORDS, INC., a Delaware corporation; VIRGIN RECORDS AMERICA, INC., a California corporation; SONY MUSIC ENTERTAINMENT INC., a Delaware corporation; LONDON-SIRE RECORDS INC., a Delaware corporation; CAPITOL RECORDS, INC., a Delaware corporation; FONOVISA, INC., a California corporation; INTERSCOPE RECORDS, a California general partnership; ELEKTRA ENTERTAINMENT GROUP INC., a Delaware corporation; LOUD RECORDS, LLC, a Delaware corporation; WARNER BROS. RECORDS INC., a Delaware corporation; UMG RECORDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation; MOTOWN RECORD COMPANY, L.P., a California limited partnership; MAVERICK RECORDING COMPANY, a California joint venture; and ATLANTIC RECORDING CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation,

Plaintiffs,

v.

DOES 1 - 203,

Defendants.

Civil Action
No.:

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT FORM

## DISCLOSURE STATEMENT FORM

Please	check one box:							
	The nongovernmental corporate party,, in the above listed civil action does not have any parent corporation and publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more of its stock.							
X	The nongovernmental corporate parties, all Plaintiffs, in the above listed civil action have the following parent corporation(s) and publicly held corporation(s) that owns 10% or more of its stock:							
	See Attachment A							
	2-17-04 Date  Counsel for: Plandy Signature							

#### Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 7.1 Disclosure Statement

- (a) WHO MUST FILE NONGOVERNMENTAL CORPORATE PARTY: A nongovernmental corporate party to an action or proceeding in a district court must file two copies of a statement that identifies any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more of its stock or states that there is no such corporation.
  - (b) TIME FOR FILING; SUPPLEMENTAL FILING. A party must:
    - (1) file the Rule 7.1(a) statement with its first appearance, pleading, petition, motion, response or other request addressed to the court, and
- (2) promptly file a supplemental statement upon any change in the information that the statement requires.

### DISCLOSURE STATEMENT FORM

### ATTACHMENT A

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 7.1, Plaintiffs identify below persons, associations of persons, firms, partnerships, corporations, guarantors, insurers, affiliates, parent and subsidiary corporations, or other legal entities that are financially interested in the outcome of the case, as well as all publicly held corporations that own 10% or more of any Plaintiff's stock.

Plaintiff BMG MUSIC is a unit of Bertelsmann, Inc. and Bertelsmann AG, neither of which are publicly traded.

Plaintiff LAVA RECORDS LLC is a joint venture between Diamond Music LLC, which is not publicly traded, and Atlantic Recording Corporation, whose parent, Time Warner Inc., is publicly traded in the United States.

Plaintiff PRIORITY RECORDS LLC's parent is EMI Group PLC, which is publicly traded in the U.K.

Plaintiff ARISTA RECORDS, INC. is a unit of Bertelsmann, Inc., which is not publicly traded.

Plaintiff VIRGIN RECORDS AMERICA, INC's parent is EMI Group PLC, which is publicly traded in the U.K.

Plaintiff SONY MUSIC ENTERTAINMENT INC.'s parent company is Sony Corporation of America, which is publicly traded in the United States.

Plaintiff LONDON-SIRE RECORDS INC. is a subsidiary of Warner Communications
Inc.; Time Warner Companies Inc.; and, Time Warner Inc., which is publicly traded in the
United States.

Plaintiff CAPITOL RECORDS, INC.'s parent corporation is EMI Group PLC, a company publicly traded in the U.K.

Plaintiff FONOVISA, INC. is a subsidiary of Univision Music, Inc.; and, Univision Communications, Inc., which is publicly traded in the United States.

Plaintiff INTERSCOPE RECORDS is a subsidiary of Vivendi Universal, S.A., which is publicly traded in the United States.

Plaintiff ELEKTRA ENTERTAINMENT GROUP INC. is a subsidiary of Warner Communications Inc.; Time Warner Companies Inc.; and, Time Warner Inc., which is publicly traded in the United States.

Plaintiff LOUD RECORDS, LLC is a subsidiary of Sony Corporation of America, which is publicly traded in the United States.

Plaintiff WARNER BROS. RECORDS INC.'s parent corporations are Warner

Communications Inc.; Time Warner Companies Inc.; and, Time Warner Inc., which is publicly

traded in the United States.

Plaintiff UMG RECORDINGS, INC.'s parent corporation is Vivendi Universal, S.A.,

which is publicly traded in the United States.

Plaintiff MOTOWN RECORD COMPANY, L.P. is a subsidiary of Vivendi Universal,

S.A., which is publicly traded in the United States.

Plaintiff MAVERICK RECORDING COMPANY is a joint venture between Maverick

Records, which is not publicly traded, and Warner Bros. Records Inc., whose parent is Time

Warner Inc., which is publicly traded in the U.S.

Plaintiff ATLANTIC RECORDING CORPORATION is a subsidiary of Warner

Communications Inc.; Time Warner Companies Inc.; and, Time Warner Inc., which is publicly

traded in the United States.

Vincent V. Carissimi (No. 42227)
Barbara L. Delaney (No. 86160)

PEPPER HAMILTON LLP

3000 Two Logan Square

Eighteenth and Arch Streets Philadelphia, PA 19103

Dated: 2-17-04 Attorneys

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

5

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

## CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

BMG MUSIC, a New York general partnership; LAVA RECORDS, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; PRIORITY RECORDS LLC, a California limited liability company; ARISTA RECORDS, INC., a Delaware corporation; VIRGIN RECORDS AMERICA, INC., a California corporation; SONY MUSIC ENTERTAINMENT INC., a Delaware corporation; LONDON-SIRE RECORDS INC., a Delaware corporation; CAPITOL RECORDS, INC., a Delaware corporation; FONOVISA, INC., a California corporation; INTERSCOPE RECORDS, a California general partnership; ELEKTRA ENTERTAINMENT GROUP INC., a Delaware corporation; LOUD RECORDS, LLC, a Delaware corporation; WARNER BROS. RECORDS INC., a Delaware corporation; UMG RECORDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation; MOTOWN RECORD COMPANY, L.P., a California limited partnership; MAVERICK RECORDING COMPANY, a California joint venture; and ATLANTIC RECORDING CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation,

CIVIL ACTION NO.

Plaintiffs,

v.

DOES 1 - 203,

Defendants.

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a case management track designation form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

# SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:

(a)	Habeas Corpus - Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. §2241 THROUGH §2255							
(b)	Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying a plaintiff Social Security Benefits							
(c)	Arbitration - Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.							
(d)	Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos							
(e)	Special Management – cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)							
(f)	Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.	( <b>x</b> )						
Date	Vincent V. Carissimi Attorney-at-Law  Attorney for Plaint	hiffs						
(215) 9 Teleph		carissimiv@pepperlaw.com E-Mail Address						

(Civ. 660) 10/02

#### Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan Section 1:03 – Assignment to a Management Track

- a) The clerk of court will assign cases to tracks (a) through (d) based on the initial pleading.
- b) In all cases not appropriate for assignment by the clerk of court to tracks (a) through (d), the plaintiff shall submit to the clerk of court and serve with the complaint on all defendants a case management track designation form specifying that the plaintiff believes the case requires Standard Management or Special Management. In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a case management track designation form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.
- c) The court may, on its own initiative or upon the request of any party, change the track assignment of any case at any time.
- d) Nothing in this Plan is intended to abrogate or limit a judicial officer's authority in any case pending before that judicial officer, to direct pretrial and trial proceedings that are more stringent than those of the Plan and that are designed to accomplish cost and delay reduction.
- e) Nothing in this Plan is intended to supersede Local Civil Rules 40.1 and 72.1, or the procedure for random assignment of Habeas Corpus and Social Security cases referred to magistrate judges of the court.

#### SPECIAL MANAGEMENT CASE ASSIGNMENTS (See §1.02(e) Management Track Definitions of the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan)

Special Management cases will usually include that class of cases commonly referred to as "complex litigation" as that term has been used in the Manuals for Complex Litigation. The first manual was prepared in 1969 and the Manual for Complex Litigation Second, MCL 2d was prepared in 1985. This term is intended to include cases that present unusual problems and require extraordinary treatment. See §0.1 of the first manual. Cases may require special or intense management by the court due to one or more of the following factors: (1) large number of parties; (2) large number of claims or defenses; (3) complex factual issues; (4) large volume of evidence; (5) problems locating or preserving evidence; (6) extensive discovery; (7) exceptionally long time needed to prepare for disposition; (8) decision needed within an exceptionally short time; and (9) need to decide preliminary issues before final disposition. It may include two or more related cases. Complex litigation typically includes such cases as antitrust cases; cases involving a large number of parties or an unincorporated association of large membership; cases involving requests for injunctive relief affecting the operation of large business entities; patent cases; copyright and trademark cases; common disaster cases such as those arising from aircraft crashes or marine disasters; actions brought by individual stockholders; stockholder's derivative and stockholder's representative actions; class actions or potential class actions; and other civil (and criminal) cases involving unusual multiplicity or complexity of factual issues. See §0.22 of the first Manual for Complex Litigation and Manual for Complex Litigation Second, Chapter 33.